



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/572,957

03/21/2006

Toshiaki Kakinami

Q92639

4788

23373 7590 03/23/2009
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

DRENNAN, BARRY T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/23/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/572,957	Applicant(s) KAKINAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Barry Drennan	Art Unit 2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Barry Drennan, Examiner. (3) John Bird, Reg. No. 46,027 Attorney for Applicant.

(2) Vikkram Bali, Supervisory Patent Examiner. (4) Satoshi Murata, Representative for Applicant.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,6 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Otsuka et al., US PgPub. 2003/0103650 A1; Saka et al., US Pat. 6,590,521 B1..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 35 USC 101 rejections, keeping device claims but adding recitation of an image pickup means or camera; discussed 35 USC 112 2nd rejections concerning apparent invocation of 35 USC 112 6th, agreeing upon replacing "means for" with "section for"; discussed 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1 and 6, particularly in light of claim 3.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Barry Drennan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Vikkram Bali/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624
--	--